UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/586,403	05/24/2007 Laurence Rahme		00786/455003	1332
21559 CLARK & ELF	7590 06/30/201 BING LLP	EXAMINER		
101 FEDERAL	STREET	ZEMAN, ROBERT A		
BOSTON, MA	02110		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1645	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/30/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentadministrator@clarkelbing.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/586,403	RAHME ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
ROBERT A. ZEMAN	1645

	ROI	BERTA. ZEMAN	1645				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears o	on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED <u>07 June 2011</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICA	TION IN CONDITION FOR A	LOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or application, applicant must timely file one of the followin application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application (RCE) in compliance with 37 periods:	on the s ng replie opeal (w	ame day as filing a Notice of Ass: (1) an amendment, affidavinith appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this t, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mail	ling date	of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>07 June 2011</u>. A brie date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), of Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must 	r any ex	tension thereof (37 CFR 41.37	7(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.				
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE be (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bappeal; and/or 		rm for appeal by materially rec	ducing or simplifying the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1			ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1	.121. S	ee attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be non-allowable claim(s). 	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is p The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1 and 3-8.			l be entered and an explanation of				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>2 and 9-19</u> . AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered Applicant's arguments have been fully considered and specification does not provide description/guidance remolecules encompassed by the instant claims. Moreo derivatives would be measured in the cellular environs.	d deeme garding ver, the	ed non-persuasive. Contrary to all the "precursors" and "deriv	Applicant's assertion, the vatives" of all the HAQ and HHQ				
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:							
		/ROBERT A ZEMAN/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1645				

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.

Part of Paper No. 20110627

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the proposed claim amendments change the scope of the instant claism thereby raising new considerations.